The early English impersonal construction: On the interaction between verb meaning, syntactic variability and grammatical change

Ruth Möhlig-Falke University of Heidelberg

In Old and early Middle English the impersonal construction was a productive syntactic device that allowed to view a non-prototypical transitive relation from the point of view of the affected or goal participant. The impersonal construction could be used with verbs belonging to different lexical domains, such as sceamian (emotion), (ge)byncean (cognition), (ge)limpan (existential experience) (ge)byrian (ownership/appropriateness), which appear in the early English data in a variety of personal and impersonal syntactic uses. In the course of the 15th century the impersonal construction became nonfunctional as a consequence of several grammatical changes affecting the system of transitivity in English. Working within a cognitive-functional framework (e.g. Fillmore 1982, Langacker 1987/1991, Croft 1991, Goldberg 1995), this talk aims to illustrate how an understanding of the lexical frame meaning of impersonal verbs may shed light on the various syntactic strategies that developed in compensation for the construction's loss (see Möhlig-Falke 2012).

References

- Croft, William. 1991. Syntactic Categories and Grammatical Relations. The Cognitive Organization of Information. Chicago: Chicago University Press.
- Fillmore, Charles. 1982. Frame semantics. In Linguistic Society of Korea, ed. *Linguistics in the Morning Calm.* Seoul: Hanshin, 111-38.
- Goldberg, Adele. 1995. Constructions. A Construction Grammar Approach to Argument Structure. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Langacker, Ronald. 1987. Foundations of Cognitive Grammar. Vol. 1: Theoretical Prerequisites. Stanford, CA: Stanford University Press.
- Langacker, Ronald. ³1991. *Foundations of Cognitive Grammar*. Vol. 2: *Descriptive Applications*. Stanford, CA: Stanford University Press.
- Möhlig-Falke, Ruth. 2012. The Early English Impersonal Construction. An Analysis of Verbal and Constructional Meaning. New York: Oxford University Press.